

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/824,648	MELET ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Bharat N Barot	2155		

Interview Summary	-			
·	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Bharat N Barot	2155		
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):				
(1) Bharat N Barot(Examiner).	(3)			
(2) Rebecca G. Rudich (App. Rep.).	(4)			
Date of Interview: <u>24 November 2004</u> .				
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2)∏ applicant's representative	e]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.			
Claim(s) discussed: claims 1 and 19-20.				
Identification of prior art discussed: NONE.				
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .				
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)				
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.				
		•		
	BHAF PRIMAR	RAT BAROT BY EXAMINER	s ≠.	
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sign	ature, if required	_	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)



Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Claims 1 and 19-20 are discussed.

Claims 1-18, method of processing user data from a user computer directed to the class 709, and claims 19-20, method of creating an enolving interactive dialog box directed to the class 715.

This application is in condition for allowance except for the presence of the claims 19-20; therefore, applicant willing to cancel the claims 19-20 (see examiner amendment).